## **Stephen Hoffman**

From:	ecomment@pa.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, February 2, 2021 11:28 AM
То:	Environment-Committee@pasenate.com; IRRC; environmentalcommittee@pahouse.net;
	regcomments@pa.gov; Troutman, Nick; timothy.collins@pasenate.com;
	gking@pahousegop.com; Iversen, Sarah A.
Cc:	c-jflanaga@pa.gov
Subject:	Comment received - Proposed Rulemaking: Dam Safety and Waterway Management (#
	7-556)

RECEIVE

CAUTION: \*\*EXTERNAL SENDER\*\* This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



## **Re: eComment System**

## The Department of Environmental Protection has received the following comments on Proposed Rulemaking: Dam Safety and Waterway Management (#7-556).

Commenter Information:

Raquel Greene (herzog.raquel@gmail.com) 104 Leo Ct Lewisberry, PA 17339 US

Comments entered:

Dear PA Environmental Quality Board,

Public health and the environment need to come first before private profits. I urge the Department of Environmental Protection to fully consider its mission to public health and the environment before finalizing its proposed revisions to Chapter 105, governing dams, water obstructions, and encroachments into aquatic resources.

The Department should reconsider its proposal to require a company seeking a permit for an industry activity that crosses multiple counties – like a pipeline – to submit only one application, rather than needing to go to each county the activity touches. This will make it harder for the counties and their residents to learn about proposed industrial activities that might affect their waters and therefore make it harder for citizens to participate and have a voice in the process.

Second, the Department should also be revising these regulations to protect our wetlands and waterways and make it harder for industry to negatively impact them. Instead, the Department is making it easier for industry to get permits or evade the permitting process altogether by expanding the number of activities eligible for a waiver out of the permitting process. Because some Pennsylvania bodies of water are so important or threatened, there should be no waivers

allowed for activities in these waterways, no matter how small the stream size. The Department should reduce, not expand, the number of waivers granted, and should not allow any waivers for activities impacting EV, HQ, Class A, wild trout, or already impaired streams.

Unfortunately we cannot rely on industry to do the right thing and it is in the best interest of Pennsylvanians health and environment to have DEP continue to hold industry accountable and be proactive in that effort.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

No attachments were included as part of this comment.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Jessica Shirley

Jessica Shirley Director, Office of Policy PA Department of Environmental Protection Rachel Carson State Office Building P.O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063 Office: 717-783-8727 Fax: 717-783-8926 ecomment@pa.gov